

Should children be able to use the toilet during a lesson?

Have you ever asked your teacher to use the toilet and they said 'no we have just come in from break you have had all break time to do it'. Today in this text, whether children should be able to use the toilet during a lesson. I will consider whether or not it should be taken to heart. Additionally, in this text I will explore arguments for and against this important issue. I have a collection of different arguments from both side of the debate.

One reason for the for the for side of the debate is when children are learning and they really need the toilet they cannot focus because they are too busy thinking about their bladder. Then they try to ask the teacher but the teacher said no also when someone else ask the teacher says yes they have a feeling that their not good enough. Another suggestion is they feel too intimidated to use the toilet at school this will be explained later in the text.

On the other hand this can hold down the lesson and can slow other people down. Also they go to the toilet to talk and to abuse their bathroom privileges. However as well as talking two-thirds (64.6) of boys and girls age 6-11 said they rarely or never do a number two at school because of peer pressure the outcome is acute constipation. A further 40% choose to 'hold it in'. This comes across: year6, year5, year4 and sometimes year three. Even though children love spending time and talking to their friends they can do it at break; this can be done instead of wasting valuable learning time.

Although children are abusing bathroom privileges we should still let them go to the toilet as this could cause tension and children are saying it is illegal and they could tell their parents and they could tell the academy trust which could lead to the school being shut down.

In conclusion children should be able to use the toilet in groups observing their not friends their not friends. Considering all of my points we should have a time dedicated to using the toilet because the amount of water the class drinks that effects when they go to the toilet. The toilet have been used by 75% of girls and boys and girls for no reason. If I were the Kingsnorth CEP School I would ensure the toilet will be used properly.

By Imaobong (6C)

Are electric cars here to stay?

Thinking of going on a family holiday or a day out? Today I will be discussing a question which occurs quite often in minds around the world and yours too. Known for their smooth drive, electric have stormed the earth. However are they really worth your hard earned cash and are they really environment friendly?

Firstly, electric vehicles produce low emissions; this offers an opportunity for nations around the world to reduce climate change and global warming, it would it would also provide a valid reason for car engineers to request for funding and employment furthermore decreasing the un-employment rate across the globe. This will result in less money being payed to the un-employed .Additionally, injecting a new lease of life into the working class moreover, it would provide another career path for the next generation.

Although, in contrast, they're not all that positive many people fail to see the diversity of opinion amongst the minds of people. For example, were do the batteries come and go? As you may or may not know they use advanced resources to build the batteries. Furthermore the components of the battery contain bio-hazardous products. This is a negative attribute: they may end up in land-fill, which leads onto my next point; in land-fill poisons the soil and wildlife in that area of terrain which would otherwise call it home.

Finally after a detailed and balanced debate I have two more points: after 2030 electric cars will be enforced, professionals believe it is possible as of the results collected on the 31st of December 2024, 1.36 million EV's (electric vehicles) have been sold. This statistic was collected by a professional. However on the other hand 1.36 million sales could be a massive proportion large because they are not necessarily practical for commercial usage because they do not have the appropriate battery range.

In this final paragraph, I will be giving a knowledgeable opinion because I have thought about the pros and cons. If I were Prime Minister I would postpone the release until a later date when we have the correct funding because then they would be the best they could be.

By Jack S (6C)

Should homework be banned?

I will be discussing whether or not homework should be banned.

Some schools has homework we will discussing with pros and cons keep reading to find out more.

For

To begin with it is important to consider the reasons why people think homework should be banned. It help with work and people can get all their work right thanks to homework.

Cons

Homework can lead to stress and frustration, it sometimes can be hard, too hard, too easy or easy and it can lead to headaches.

Although, homework has to be banned because it can lead to stress people can get headaches parents get to help. People have to do it still

Parents are there to help their child, if they have a lot to do they still have to do it although it is hard.

In conclusion I think it should be banned because it leads to headaches and stress.

By Elsie H (6C)

Should hybridization with animals be illegal?

Hybridization is a complex subject and not many people fully understand it. Hybridization happens when different species, usually from within the same genus, mate and reproduce hybrid offspring. Scientists are experimenting with animals to examine unusual occurrences to discover new things. This global issue has never come to a conclusion but in this discussion text we will be exploring both sides of this debate.

Many protest that it is cruel to interbreed animals and that we are creating more damage to the species. Others argue that hybrid offspring become infertile and are unable to reproduce, so scientists are unable to experiment to see if they can live on. Their main argument in favour is that hybridization threatens species genetic integrity and survival; this includes genetic swamping and outbreeding. It can also impact the species evolution. Some say it is a waste of precious effort that the species have spent evolving to today's animal.

On the other hand, some believe we should promote the theory of hybridization because it will give scientists new information that they can apply to helping animals. Their main argument is that hybridization is not disastrous so scientists should experiment. In addition, hybridization can introduce new traits that neither parent have which could become a whole new species. Many protest that hybrids becoming infertile is a common misconception and that no law in biology says that hybrids have to be infertile. Some suggest that interbreeding animals could lead to a new stage in evolution and that we should help animals move on in the future.

The main point of hybrid animals is that they could save a rare lineage from extinction by introducing genetic diversity. There are two types of hybridization; natural and unnatural. Natural hybridization happens by itself when no other living thing interferes. "Natural hybridization is not bad at all," stated Yoamel Milian-Gareia, biologist at the University of Havana. Unnatural hybridization is when humans take the DNA of one animal into the egg of another's offspring. Unnatural hybridization can be with an animal outside of the species genus which natural hybridization is unable to do.

In conclusion, there are both benefits and consequences to hybridization. While interbreeding animals could create a new species, it could also destroy one. Personally, I do not feel enough research has been done properly and that if we were to investigate further, we might discover new things. I think if hybridization should be illegal or not depends on if scientists are causing damage or harm to the evolution of that species. As long as no harm is created, hybridization should not be illegal.

By Millie (6L)

Should people go on holiday every year?

The point of this discussion text is to inform the reader about why people should go on holiday every year every year. This text provides the positives and negatives of going on vacation every year and at the end, I will have my opinion.

Many people believe that vacation time can boost with learning, studies and work. Holiday time can help you spend time learning about another country's tradition. It can reduce stress, which can end you up with better sleep. Going on holiday every year can give you beautiful remarkable sights and you can go on a new experience rather than staying at home, watching television and playing games.

The negative side of going on holiday is that is that you can miss learning or work if you go on an excursion during school or work time. In worst-case scenarios, you may even lose your job! Another thing to be concerned about is the cost. Staying at a luxurious five-star hotel with your family approximately cost £5000. Going on vacation on specific days can make someone feel sad and you can start feeling left out and start missing people

After looking at both sides of the debate, I have come to a conclusion. Holiday time can help learn another country's culture and you can achieve extra learning and explore traditions from all around the world. However, you have to be very cautious because if you take a trip during school time, you can miss learning and lose your attendance. What do you think?

By Tanish (6L)

Should the summer holidays be longer than six weeks?

There have been numerous debates whether the summer holidays should be longer than six weeks and in this discussion text I will bring both sides of the debate to have a balanced view.

To start with having a lot of free time give the children the opportunity to enhance their creativity like going on walks and finding new hobbies. Having a hobby is good because it can give the children courage to learn new skills that they would not necessarily learn at school.

On the other hand children can spend the time gaming and not being active which can lead to the urge of skipping school. Also its helping the bullies find there next victim and there are no teachers to stop them.

In conclusion both sides of the debate have valid points. Longer summer holidays can enhance creativity but they can choose not to and spend the time gaming. I would side with longer summer holidays because it's up to the parents to decide if they spend the time gaming.

By Toby (6L)